metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Filipe A. Almeida Paz, Yaroslav Z. Khimyak and Jacek Klinowski*

Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, England

Correspondence e-mail: jk18@cam.ac.uk

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 180 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.046 wR factor = 0.121 Data-to-parameter ratio = 11.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Bis(4,4'-bipyridine)tetraaquacobalt(II) 2,6-naphathalenedicarboxylate dihydrate

The title compound, $[Co(C_{10}H_8N_2)_2(H_2O)_4](C_{12}H_6O_4)\cdot 2H_2O$ (where $C_{10}H_8N_2$ is 4,4'-bipyridine, BPY, and $C_{12}H_6O_4$ is 2,6naphthalenedicarboxylate, NDC²⁻), (CUmof-6), was synthesized under mild hydrothermal conditions. The structure contains $[Co(BPY)_2(H_2O)_4]^{2+}$ complex cations, which stack along the *a* axis through close face-to-face contacts. Uncoordinated NDC²⁻ anions are strongly hydrogen bonded to the complex cations. The Co²⁺ cation and the centre of gravity of NDC²⁻ are located on crystallographic centres of symmetry.

Comment

The construction of inorganic–organic hybrid frameworks containing *d*-block transition metal ions and ligands with 4-pyridyl donor groups has developed significantly in recent years (see, for example, Batten & Robson, 1998; Moulton & Zaworotko, 2001). We are interested in the synthesis of novel hybrid compounds which contain not only 4-pyridyl but also carboxylate groups in the crystal structure (Almeida Paz, Khimyak *et al.*, 2002). Recently, we also reported a novel one-dimensional Co²⁺ coordination polymer containing 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane (BPE), CUmof-4 (Almeida Paz, Bond *et al.*, 2002).



Just as for CUmof-4, the title compound, [Co(BPY)₂-(H₂O)₄](NDC).2H₂O, CUmof-6, (I), was synthesized under mild hydrothermal conditions and contains only one crystallographically unique Co²⁺ centre, occupying a centre of symmetry in $P\overline{1}$. The metal ion shows an almost regular octahedral chemical environment, composed of four water molecules (forming the equatorial plane) and two transcoordinated 4-pyridyl N atoms (from BPY) at the apical positions (Table 1 and Fig. 1). Individual $[Co(BPY)_2(H_2O)_4]^{2+}$ complex cations stack in an offset manner along the *a* direction through close BPY contacts. The average distance between adjacent aromatic rings is ca 3.5 Å (Fig. 2). Interestingly, and unlike the situation in CUmof-4, the NDC²⁻ ions do not participate in these interactions. This is explained by the fact that the metal-to-metal distance imposed by a BPY spacer is not sufficient to accommodate the NDC^{2-} anions.

Received 20 November 2002 Accepted 28 November 2002 Online 7 December 2002

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved





The asymmetric unit of (I), CUmof-6, represented with displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level and showing the labelling scheme for non-H atoms. Unlabelled ball-and-stick atoms were generated by symmetry [Symmetry codes: (for BPY) -x, -y, -z and (for NDC^{2–}) -x, 1 - y, 1 - z].



Figure 2

Perspective view of CUmof-6 along the *a* direction. Co^{2+} centres are represented as octahedra, BPY ligands with hollow bonds, NDC²⁻ with filled bonds, and water of crystallization in blue.

This may also account for the presence of extra water of crystallization. Compound (I) can be further described by the alternation along the *b* direction of layers of the complex cations with layers of NDC²⁻ (Fig. 2). $O-H\cdots O^{-}$ and $O-H\cdots N$ hydrogen bonds between the NDC²⁻ ions and the uncoordinated 4-pyridyl group with the water molecules give rise to a three-dimensional network (Table 2 and Fig. 3).

Experimental

All chemicals were obtained from commercial sources and were used as received. To a solution of $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.243 g, Aldrich) in distilled water (6.41 g), 4,4'-bipyridyl (BPY, 0.164 g, Aldrich), 2,6naphthalenedicarboxylic acid (H₂NDC, 0.218 g, Aldrich) and triethylamine (TEA, 0208 g, Avocado) were added and the mixture was stirred thoroughly for 1 h at ambient temperature. The suspension, with a H₂NDC:Co²⁺:BPY:TEA:H₂O composition ratio of 1.00:1.01:1.04:2.04:353, was placed inside a Parr stainless steel Teflonlined reaction vessel (8 ml, 70% full). The reaction was performed under autogeneous pressure and static conditions in a pre-heated oven at 418 K for 3 h. The vessel was then cooled slowly inside the oven to 298 K at a rate of 5 K h⁻¹ before opening. The crystalline product was collected by vacuum filtration and crystals of (I) were manually separated and preserved in a portion of the reaction vessel solution.



Figure 3

Perspective view of CUmof-6 along the c direction, showing the hydrogen-bonding network (dashed lines). H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{20}H_{24}\text{CoN}_4\text{O}_4\cdot\text{C}_{12}H_6\text{O}_4\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}\\ M_r = 693.56\\ \text{Triclinic, } P\overline{1}\\ a = 6.9856 (5) \ \text{\AA}\\ b = 9.2926 (11) \ \text{\AA}\\ c = 12.3538 (14) \ \text{\AA}\\ \alpha = 78.585 (5)^{\circ}\\ \beta = 84.015 (7)^{\circ}\\ \gamma = 73.740 (7)^{\circ}\\ V = 753.62 (13) \ \text{\AA}^3 \end{array}$

Z = 1 $D_x = 1.528 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 5738 reflections $\theta = 1.0-25.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.64 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 180 (2) KNeedle, colourless $0.10 \times 0.05 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

2083 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.059$

 $\theta_{\max} = 24.9^{\circ}$ $h = -7 \rightarrow 8$

 $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$

 $l = -13 \rightarrow 14$

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Thin-slice ω and φ scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SORTAV*; Blessing, 1995) $T_{min} = 0.839, T_{max} = 0.955$ 5657 measured reflections 2574 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0493P)^2$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0493P)^2$ $wR(F^2) = 0.121$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ S = 1.10 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.009$ 2574 reflections $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.34$ e Å $^{-3}$ 234 parameters $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.64$ e Å $^{-3}$ H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Co1-O12	2.070 (2)	Co1-N21	2.153 (3)
Co1-O11	2.124 (2)		
O12-Co1-O11	91.04 (9)	O12 ⁱ -Co1-N21	90.66 (9)
O12 ⁱ -Co1-O11	88.96 (9)	O11-Co1-N21	87.41 (9)
O12-Co1-N21	89.34 (9)	O11 ⁱ -Co1-N21	92.59 (9)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z.

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).	

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O11−H11A····O4W	0.837 (17)	1.958 (19)	2.785 (3)	169 (3)
$O11 - H11B \cdot \cdot \cdot O311^{ii}$	0.835 (17)	1.920 (18)	2.754 (3)	175 (4)
$O12-H12A\cdots O4W^{iii}$	0.832 (17)	2.00 (2)	2.798 (3)	162 (3)
$O12-H12B\cdots N22^{iv}$	0.829 (18)	1.951 (19)	2.772 (4)	170 (4)
$O4W-H4A\cdots O312^{v}$	0.833 (18)	1.946 (19)	2.767 (3)	169 (4)
O4W−H4B···O311	0.828 (18)	1.932 (19)	2.750 (3)	170 (4)
Symmetry codes: (ii)	-x, 1-y, -z	(iii) $x - 1$,	y, z; (iv) $x,$	y, z - 1; (v)

Symmetry codes: (ii) -x, 1-y, -z; (iii) 1-x, 1-y, -z;

Water H atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and refined with a single isotropic displacement parameter common to all H atoms. O—H and H···H distances were restrained to ensure a reasonable geometry for the water molecules. H atoms bound to carbon were placed in calculated positions and allowed to ride during subsequent refinement, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: *HKL SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *HKL DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and *SCALEPACK*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2001); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

We thank Dr Andrew D. Bond for collecting the crystal data and solving the crystal structure. We are also grateful to the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) for financial support through the PhD scholarship No. SFRH/BD/ 3024/2000 (to FAAP), and to the Cambridge Oppenheimer Fund for a research fellowship (to YZK).

References

- Almeida Paz, F. A., Bond, A. D., Khimyak, Y. Z. & Klinowski, J. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, m691–m693.
- Almeida Paz, F. A., Khimyak, Y. Z., Bond, A. D., Rocha, J. & Klinowski, J. (2002). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 2823–2828.
- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Batten, S. R. & Robson, R. (1998). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 37, 1461-1494.
- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-58.
- Bruker (2001). SHELXTL. Version 6.12. Bruker AXS Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Moulton, B. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2001). Chem. Rev. 101, 1629-1658.
- Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr and R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.